

Groups with normal restriction property

Hung P. Tong-Viet

Abstract. Let G be a finite group. A subgroup M of G is said to be an *NR-subgroup* if, whenever $K \trianglelefteq M$, then $K^G \cap M = K$ where K^G is the normal closure of K in G . Using the Classification of Finite Simple Groups, we prove that if every maximal subgroup of G is an *NR*-subgroup then G is solvable. This gives a positive answer to a conjecture posed in [2].

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary 20D10; Secondary 20D05.

Keywords. solvable groups, maximal subgroups.

1. Introduction

All groups considered are finite. Let G be a group. Following Berkovich in [2], a triple (G, H, K) is said to be *special* in G if $K \trianglelefteq H \leq G$ and $H \cap K^G = K$, where K^G is the normal closure of K in G . A subgroup H is called an *NR*-subgroup (Normal Restriction) if, whenever $K \trianglelefteq H$, then (G, H, K) is special in G . The main result of this paper is a proof of Conjecture 2 raised in [2].

Theorem 1.1. ([2] Conjecture 2) *If all maximal subgroups of G are NR-subgroups then G is solvable.*

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we need a result on the factorization of almost simple groups. Unfortunately, we cannot avoid using the Classification of Finite Simple Groups in the proof of that result (see Theorem 1.2). Recall that a group G is said to be *almost simple* if $S \trianglelefteq G \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ for some non-abelian simple group S . If K is a proper subgroup of G and H is a subgroup of G with $K \leq H < G$, then H is called a *proper over-group* of K in G . Moreover, a subgroup K of G is said to be *p-local* in G if $K = N_G(P)$ for some non-trivial p -subgroup P of G , p prime. We also say that K is *local in G* if K is p -local in G for some prime p .

This work was completed with the support of University of Birmingham.

Finally, a subgroup K of G is said to be *local maximal* if it is both maximal and local in G .

Theorem 1.2. *Let S be a non-abelian simple group and $S \trianglelefteq G \leq \text{Aut}(S)$. Then there exists a non-trivial subgroup K of S such that all proper over-groups of K in S are local in S and $G = N_G(K)S$.*

The following corollary is used to show that the minimal counter-example to Theorem 1.1 is not simple.

Corollary 1.3. *Let S be a non-abelian simple group. Then S contains a local maximal subgroup.*

Proof. Let $G = \text{Aut}(S)$ and K be the subgroup of S obtained from Theorem 1.2. Consider the set $\mathcal{A}$ of all proper over-groups of K in S . Clearly, $\mathcal{A}$ is non-empty and every element of $\mathcal{A}$ is a local subgroup of S containing K . The maximum element of $\mathcal{A}$ is a maximal subgroup of S and is local. $\square$

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect some results that we need for the proofs of the theorems above.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $K \trianglelefteq H \leq G$. If H is an NR-subgroup of G then HK^G/K^G is an NR-subgroup of G/K^G . In particular, if $K \trianglelefteq G$ and all maximal subgroups of G are NR-subgroups, then all maximal subgroups of G/K are also NR-subgroups.*

Proof. The first statement is Lemma 4(c) in [2]. The second statement follows easily. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. ([4] Theorem 4.3) *Let P be a p -Sylow subgroup of a group G . If P lies in the center of $N_G(P)$ then G has a normal p -complement.*

Theorem 2.3. ([2] Proposition 7) *Let H be a maximal solvable subgroup of G . If H is an NR-subgroup of G then $H = G$.*

3. Proofs of the Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $G = \text{Aut}(S)$. By the Classification of Finite Simple Groups, if S is a non-abelian simple group then S is a finite simple group of Lie type, an alternating group of degree at least 5 or one of 26 sporadic groups. In this proof, we treat the Tits group, ${}^2F_4(2)'$ as sporadic group rather than a group of Lie type, and in view of the isomorphisms $A_6 \simeq L_2(9)$, and $A_5 \simeq L_2(5)$, we consider A_5, A_6 to be groups of Lie type.

(i) S is a finite simple group of Lie type in characteristic p , $S \neq {}^2F_4(2)'$. By Proposition 8.2.1 and Theorem 13.5.4 in [3], S has a (B,N)-pair. Let B be a Borel subgroup of S . Then $B = N_S(U)$, where U is a p -Sylow subgroup of S . For any

TABLE 1. $|Out(S)| = 1$

S	M_{11}	J_1	M_{23}	M_{24}	Ru	Co_3	Co_2
K	$2S_4$	$7:6$	$23:11$	$2^4:A_8$	$5:4 \times A_5$	$2 \times M_{12}$	$2^{10}:M_{22}:2$
S	Ly	Th	Fi_{23}	Co_1	J_4	B	M
K	$37:18$	$31:15$	$2Fi_{22}$	$S_3 \times A_9$	$37:12$	$47:23$	$2B$

$\theta \in G$, as $S \trianglelefteq G$, $U^\theta \leq S^\theta = S$, and hence U^θ is a p -Sylow subgroup of S . By Sylow's Theorem $U^\theta = U^g$ for some $g \in S$. Observe that

$$B^\theta = N_S(U^\theta) = N_S(U^g) = B^g.$$

Thus $\theta g^{-1} \in N_G(B)$, so that $\theta \in N_G(B)S$, and hence $G = N_G(B)S$. Moreover, if H is any proper over-group of B in S , then H is a parabolic subgroup of S and $H < S$, so that H is p -local in S . Therefore we can choose K to be a Borel subgroup of S .

(ii) S is an alternating group of degree $n \geq 7$. In this case $G = S_n$. Let $H = S_{n-3} \times S_3$ and $K = H \cap S$. Since $n - 3 > 3$, it follows from [5] that K is a maximal subgroup of S , H is a maximal subgroup of G , and hence $G = HS$. As $[H : K] = 2$, we have $H = N_G(K)$, so that $G = N_G(K)S$. The subgroup K satisfies the Theorem since it is 3-local and maximal in S .

(iii) S is sporadic or $S = {}^2F_4(2)'$.

By [1], $[G : S] = 1$ or 2 . If $G = S$ then we can choose K to be any local maximal subgroup of S . The pairs (S, K) are given in Table 1. Otherwise, as in (ii), choose H to be a maximal subgroup of G such that $K = H \cap S$ is a local maximal subgroup of S . Then K will satisfy the conclusion of the Theorem. The triple (S, K, H) are given in Table 2. The proof is now completed. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let G be a minimal counter-example to Theorem 1.1. We first show that G is not simple. By contradiction, suppose that G is simple. By Corollary 1.3, G contains a p -local maximal subgroup M . Let P be a p -subgroup of G such that $M = N_G(P)$. Then $1 \neq P \trianglelefteq M$ and since M is an NR -subgroup of G , we have $P^G \cap M = P$. However as G is simple and $P \leq P^G \trianglelefteq G$, $P^G = G$. Hence $P = G \cap M = M$. Let P_1 be a cyclic subgroup of order p in the center of M . Then P_1 is normal in M . Apply the same argument as above, we have $P_1^G = G$, and so $P_1 = P_1^G \cap M = M$. Thus M is a cyclic group of order p . In view of the maximality of M and the simplicity of G , M is a p -Sylow subgroup of G and $N_G(M) = M$. By Theorem 2.2, G has a normal p -complement. This contradicts to our assumption. Thus G is not simple.

Let N be any minimal normal subgroup of G . By Lemma 2.1, the group G/N satisfies the hypothesis of the Theorem and has smaller order than that of G , by the minimality of G , G/N is solvable. Thus N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G , and it coincides with the last term of the derived series of G . If N is solvable then G is also solvable and we are done. Thus we assume that N is not solvable.

TABLE 2. $|Out(S)| = 2$

S	K	H
M_{12}	$4^2 : D_{12}$	$K \cdot 2$
M_{22}	$2^4 : A_6$	$2^4 : S_6$
J_2	$A_4 \times A_5$	$K : 2$
${}^2F_4(2)'$	$5^2 : 4A_4$	$5^2 : 4S_4$
HS	$5 : 4 \times A_5$	$5 : 4 \times S_5$
J_3	$2_-^{1+4} : A_5$	$2_-^{1+4} : S_5$
McL	$5_+^{1+2} : 3 : 8$	$K \cdot 2$
He	$5^2 : 4A_4$	$5^2 : 4S_4$
Suz	$3^5 : M_{11}$	$3^5 : (M_{11} \times 2)$
$O'N$	$4^3 : L_3(2)$	$4^3 : (L_3(2) \times 2)$
Fi_{22}	$2^{10} : M_{22}$	$2^{10} : M_{22} : 2$
HN	$3_+^{1+4} : 4A_5$	$3_+^{1+4} : 4S_5$
Fi'_{24}	$3^7 : O_7(3)$	$3^7 : O_7(3) : 2$

Then $N = S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_t$, where $S_i = S^{x_i}$, S is a non-abelian simple group, and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t \in G$. Let K be the subgroup of S obtained from Theorem 1.2, and $T = K_1 \times K_2 \times \cdots \times K_t$, where $K_i = K^{x_i}$. Then T is a non-trivial proper subgroup of N . Since N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G , $N_G(T) < G$. We will show that $G = N_G(T)N$. For any $g \in G$, since $N^g = N$, there exists a permutation π of degree t acting on $\{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ such that $S^{x_i g} = S^{x_{i\pi}}$. Let $g_i = x_i g x_{i\pi}^{-1}$. Then $g_i \in N_G(S)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
T^g &= K^{x_1 g} \times K^{x_2 g} \times \cdots \times K^{x_t g} = K^{g_1 x_{1\pi}} \times K^{g_2 x_{2\pi}} \times \cdots \times K^{g_t x_{t\pi}} = \\
&= K^{g_1 \pi^{-1} x_1} \times K^{g_2 \pi^{-1} x_2} \times \cdots \times K^{g_t \pi^{-1} x_t} = K^{h_1 x_1} \times K^{h_2 x_2} \times \cdots \times K^{h_t x_t} = \\
&= K^{x_1 s_1} \times K^{x_2 s_2} \times \cdots \times K^{x_t s_t} = K_1^{s_1} \times K_2^{s_2} \times \cdots \times K_t^{s_t},
\end{aligned}$$

where $K^{g_{i\pi^{-1}}} = K^{h_i}$ with $h_i \in S$ by Theorem 1.2, and $s_i = h_i^{x_i} \in S_i$. Let $s = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_t \in N$. Since $[S_i, S_j] = 1$ if $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$, $K_i^s = K_i^{s_i}$. Thus $T^g = T^s$, where $s \in N$. Therefore $G = N_G(T)N$.

Let M be any maximal subgroup of G containing $N_G(T)$. Let $U = M \cap N$. We have $G = MN$, and $U = M \cap N \trianglelefteq M$. As $G/N = MN/N \simeq M/U$, M/U is solvable. If U is solvable then M is solvable. By Theorem 2.3, $G = M$, a contradiction. Thus U is non-solvable. Let L be any non-trivial normal subgroup of M . Since M is maximal in G , M is an NR -subgroup of G , so that $L = L^G \cap M$. It follows from the fact that N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G , $N \leq L^G$. We have $U = N \cap M \leq L^G \cap M = L$. We conclude that U is a minimal normal subgroup of M . Now, since U is a minimal normal subgroup of M and U is non-solvable, $U = W_1 \times W_2 \times \cdots \times W_k$, where $W_i \simeq W$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and W is a non-abelian simple group. Suppose that there exists $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ such that $S_j \leq U$. As S_j

is normal in N ,

$$S_j^G = S_j^{NM} = S_j^M \leq M.$$

However as $S_j^G = N$, $G = MN = M$, a contradiction. Therefore $S_j \cap U < S_j$ for any $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$. Since $K_j \leq S_j \trianglelefteq N$, $K_j \leq S_j \cap U \trianglelefteq U$. As U is a direct product of non-abelian simple groups and $S_j \cap U$ is a non-trivial normal subgroup of U , there exists a non-empty set $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ such that $S_j \cap U = \prod_{i \in J} W_i$. Hence

$$K_j \leq \prod_{i \in J} W_i < S_j,$$

and so

$$K \leq \prod_{i \in J} W_i^{x_j^{-1}} < S,$$

where $W_i^{x_j^{-1}}$ are non-abelian simple for any $i \in J$. However, by Theorem 1.2, $\prod_{i \in J} W_i^{x_j^{-1}}$ is local in S . This final contradiction completes the proof. $\square$

References

- [1] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker, R.A. Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985, Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups, with computational assistance from J.G. Thackray.
- [2] Yakov Berkovich, *Subgroups with the Character Restriction Property and Related Topics*, Houston Journal of Mathematics, Vol. **24**, No. 4, 1998.
- [3] Roger W. Carter, *Simple Groups of Lie Type*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. **28**. John Wiley and Sons, London-New York-Sydney, 1972.
- [4] Daniel Gorenstein, *Finite Groups*, Chelsea Publishing Company, Second Edition, 1980.
- [5] M.W. Liebeck, C.E. Praeger, Jan Saxl, *A Classification of the Maximal Subgroups of the Finite Alternating and Symmetric Groups*, Journal of Algebra **111**, 365-383 (1987).

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Professor Kay Magaard and Doctor Le Thien Tung for their help with the preparation of this work. I am also grateful to Professor Derek Holt and the referee for their suggestions to improve the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Hung P. Tong-Viet
 School of Mathematics
 University of Birmingham
 Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT
 United Kingdom
 e-mail: tongviet@maths.bham.ac.uk